# Autobiographical Planning and the Brain: Activation and Its Modulation by Qualitative Features

R. Nathan Spreng<sup>1</sup>, Kathy D. Gerlach<sup>2</sup>, Gary R. Turner<sup>3</sup>, and Daniel L. Schacter<sup>2</sup>

#### Abstract

■ To engage in purposeful behavior, it is important to make plans, which organize subsequent actions. Most studies of planning involve "look-ahead" puzzle tasks that are unrelated to personal goals. We developed a task to assess autobiographical planning, which involves the formulation of personal plans in response to real-world goals, and examined autobiographical planning in 63 adults during fMRI scanning. Autobiographical planning was found to engage the default network, including medial-temporal lobe and midline structures, and executive control regions in lateral pFC and parietal cortex and caudate. To examine how specific qualitative features of autobiographical plans modulate neural activity, we performed parametric modulation analyses. Ratings of plan detail, novelty, temporal distance, ease of plan formulation, difficulty in goal completion, and confidence in goal accomplishment were used as covariates in six hierarchical linear regression models. This modeling procedure removed shared variance among the ratings, allowing us to determine the independent relationship between ratings of interest and trial-wise BOLD signal. We found that specific autobiographical planning, describing a detailed, achievable, and actionable planning process for attaining a clearly envisioned future, recruited both default and frontoparietal brain regions. In contrast, abstract autobiographical planning, plans that were constructed from more generalized semantic or affective representations of a less tangible and distant future, involved interactions among default, sensory perceptual, and limbic brain structures. Specific qualities of autobiographical plans are important predictors of default and frontoparietal control network engagement during plan formation and reflect the contribution of mnemonic and executive control processes to autobiographical planning.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The ability to mentally represent the future, or prospection, is a broad concept that has been used to characterize a wide variety of future-oriented cognitions (e.g., Seligman, Railton, Baumeister, & Sripada, 2013; Gilbert & Wilson, 2007). Four modes of future thinking have been identified that encapsulate the bulk of research on prospective cognition: simulation, prediction, intention, and planning (Szpunar, Spreng, & Schacter, 2014). These modes of future thinking range from the initial conception of a possible future event to the process of attaining a goal. Planning involves the identification and sequencing of steps toward achieving a goal state. Autobiographical planning in particular involves the identification and organization of steps needed to arrive at a specific autobiographical future event or outcome. The process of autobiographical planning combines elements of autobiographical memory with goal-directed planning operations. Several studies have shown that autobiographical planning engages synchronized activity of medial-temporal lobe (MTL) memory structures as well as frontal executive regions (Gerlach, Spreng, Madore, & Schacter, 2014; Spreng & Schacter, 2012; Spreng,

Stevens, Chamberlain, Gilmore, & Schacter, 2010). This work has emphasized the coordinated activation of large-scale brain systems, specifically, of the default and frontoparietal control networks.

The default network is a set of functionally connected brain regions engaged by self-generated thought and active across multiple functional domains including memory, future thinking, and social cognition (Andrews-Hanna, Smallwood, & Spreng, 2014; Spreng, Mar, & Kim, 2009; Buckner, Andrews-Hanna, & Schacter, 2008). The network includes the medial pFC; medial parietal cortex, including posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) and retrosplenial cortex (RSC); posterior inferior parietal lobule (IPL); MTLs; and lateral temporal cortex.

Default network activity has been implicated in futureoriented episodic simulation, which involves spatiotemporal unfolding of imagined events (Schacter et al., 2012; Schacter, Addis, & Buckner, 2008). Specific qualitative features of simulated events have been found to modulate brain activity. The richness and specificity of episodic detail during such simulations has been associated with increasing left (Addis & Schacter, 2008) and right (Addis, Cheng, Roberts, & Schacter, 2011) anterior hippocampal activation as well as left amygdala and right frontal polar regions (Addis & Schacter, 2008). Imagining more temporally distant future events results in greater

Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience 27:11, pp. 2147–2157 doi:10.1162/jocn a 00846

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Cornell University, <sup>2</sup>Harvard University, <sup>3</sup>York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

hippocampal activity bilaterally (Addis & Schacter, 2008), and activation of medial pFC has also been observed while envisioning distal emotional events (D'Argembeau, Xue, Lu, Van der Linden, & Bechara, 2008). Simulation of events more proximal in time has also been associated with activation in default network brain regions (Tamir & Mitchell, 2011). Decreasing probability of an event occurring in the future has been linked with increasing right anterior hippocampal activity, controlling for effects of temporal distance, amount of detail, and emotionality (Weiler, Suchan, & Daum, 2010). Finally, optimism about future event occurrence has been shown to modulate ventromedial pFC (Sharot, Riccardi, Raio, & Phelps, 2007). Although much work has been done to elucidate these qualitative aspects of episodic simulation, it remains unclear what qualitative features of autobiographical plans may modulate neural activity.

There is an extensive body of literature investigating the neuropsychological and neurophysiological correlates of planning as a domain of executive functioning (see Owen, 1997, for a review). This work has typically employed laboratory-based, problem-solving paradigms that require individuals to formulate, sequence, and implement a series of steps toward attainment of a target goal state (cf. Tower of London [TOL] task; Owen, Doyon, Petrides, & Evans, 1996; Owen, Downes, Sahakian, Polkey, & Robbins, 1990), although efforts have been made to assess planning capacity in more ecologically valid settings (cf. Multiple Errands Test; Shallice & Burgess, 1991). Planning capacity has been associated with lateral pFC and parietal cortex activations (e.g., Spreng et al., 2010; Wagner, Koch, Reichenbach, Sauer, & Schlosser, 2006; van den Huevel et al., 2003; Owen et al., 1996) as well as subcortical structures, including associative striatum (e.g., Wunderlich, Dayan, & Dolan, 2012; Monchi, Ko, & Strafella, 2006; van den Huevel et al., 2003). Lateral pFC, the anterior extent of the IPL, dorsal anterior cingulate, and anterior insula comprise regions of an extended frontoparietal control system broadly involved in executive control (Niendam et al., 2012; Vincent, Kahn, Snyder, Raichle, & Buckner, 2008). In a recent study, regions of the dorsal attention network, including the FEFs and superior parietal cortex, coupled with a frontoparietal control network during performance of the TOL task (Spreng et al., 2010). Critically, during performance of an autobiographical planning analog of the TOL task in the same scanning session, network coupling shifted such that the frontoparietal control network was more closely coupled with regions of the default network. This finding suggests that generating plans for one's personal future requires engagement of both default network brain regions, to simulate personal future goal states, as well as the frontoparietal control network, to implement the control processes necessary to guide actions toward goal attainment.

Although the general network architecture supporting autobiographical planning is beginning to come into focus, the role of specific regions within these networks and the ways in which they are modulated by discrete planning features have yet to be determined. The aims of this study are to identify the distributed pattern of brain regions involved in autobiographical planning and to investigate how these are modulated by plan detail, novelty, temporal distance, ease of plan formulation, perceived difficulty in goal attainment, and confidence in plan completion. In light of the previous observations discussed above, we predicted that autobiographical planning would additionally engage both default and frontoparietal control network regions and further hypothesized that contributions of regions in both networks would be modulated by their qualitative features. Specifically, we predict that the modulation effect of qualitative features during episodic future event simulation, associated with default brain regions, would be replicated during autobiographical planning. Moreover, we suggest that greater specificity in planning processes will be associated with activity in the frontoparietal control brain regions as control processes are engaged to formulate a detailed path to a readily envisioned future. The results provide the first comprehensive assessment of how specific qualities of autobiographical plans are linked with engagement of default and frontoparietal control networks during plan formation.

# **METHODS**

# Participants

Sixty-three healthy young adults ( $M_{age} = 22.5 \pm 2.6$  years, range = 18–30 years; 40 women) consented to participate in this study approved by the Harvard institutional review board. This study is based on a novel analysis of previously published data (Spreng, Sepulcre, Turner, Stevens, & Schacter, 2013; Spreng & Schacter, 2012; Spreng et al., 2010).

#### Task

Only a brief description of the paradigm is provided here; for a full description, refer to Spreng et al. (2010). Autobiographical planning was assessed by a novel task that required participants to devise personal plans to meet specific goals. For example, "freedom from debt" constituted one of the goals in the autobiographical planning task. Participants viewed the goal and then saw two steps they could take toward achieving that goal ("good job" and "save money") as well as an obstacle they needed to overcome to achieve the goal ("have fun"). Participants were instructed to integrate the steps and obstacles into a cohesive personal plan that would allow them to achieve the goal. Participants also performed a baseline counting task, which involved the sequential counting of vowels within random letter sequences. Performance on a TOL task was also scanned but not included in the current analysis. All stimuli were visually matched (see Spreng et al., 2010, for details).

Study A included 20 participants who generated 30 autobiographical plans (Spreng et al., 2010). Study B included 18 participants who generated 24 autobiographical plans (Spreng & Schacter, 2012). Study C included 25 participants who generated 20 autobiographical plans (Spreng, Gilmore, & Schacter, unpublished observations). All participants rated the extent of detail included in their plan immediately after each trial in the scanner. After the scan, participants were interviewed about their autobiographical plans. They rated each plan for novelty (i.e., how much the plan had been given prior consideration before participating in the study), ease of formulating the plan in the scanner, and foreseeable difficulty in accomplishing the goal. Ratings of confidence in achieving the goal were collected in Studies B and C. All characteristics of the autobiographical plans were rated on a Likert scale ranging from 1 to 4 (or 5, for detail in Study C). Because of some Likert scaling differences between studies and for ease of interpretation, ratings were subsequently rescaled from 1 to 100 before analysis. Participants also estimated the time to goal completion (number of days, months, and/or years). These values were calculated as a function of days from the present and log-transformed for subsequent analysis to correct for positive skew in the distribution (see Spreng & Levine, 2006; see Table 1).

To examine the association among the behavioral ratings, trial-wise within-subject correlations were computed. To determine which associations were significant, the within-subject correlations were submitted to a Fisher's r-to-z transform and tested by a simple t test (test criteria = 0, no correlation). Mean z scores were then converted back to r values for interpretation purposes (Table 1).

## Neuroimaging

Neuroimages were acquired on a Siemens Trio 3-T scanner (Erlangen, Germany) with a 12- or 32-channel head coil. BOLD functional scans were acquired with a T2\*-weighted EPI pulse sequence (repetition time = 2500 msec, echo time = 30 msec,  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  mm voxels). Details of the scanning parameters for Study A (Spreng et al., 2010) and Study B (Spreng & Schacter, 2012) can be found in the original published reports. For Study C, anatomical scans and five 10-min-and-15-sec BOLD functional scans were acquired with the same imaging parameters as Spreng and Schacter (2012). All fMRI data were subjected to standard preprocessing steps, including slice timing and motion correction, atlas registration to the Montreal Neurological Institute template, and spatial smoothing with a 6-mm Gaussian kernel at FWHM, as detailed in Spreng et al. (2010).

In this study, neuroimaging data were analyzed with SPM8. First, brain activity during autobiographical planning was examined relative to counting. We generated a general linear model for each participant, modeling cognitive events with the canonical hemodynamic response function, its temporal derivative, and its dispersion derivative; mean and linear drift for each functional run; and the six motion parameters. Cognitive tasks were composed of autobiographical planning, counting, and the TOL. Study C modulated autobiographical load by including three or six items to integrate into the plan. The present analysis merged across these trials, and load was included as a covariate of no interest. The t contrast image for autobiographical planning > counting was used in a second-level random effects analysis, which included study and head coil type as second-level regressors. This whole-brain contrast was corrected for multiple comparisons using the false discovery rate (FDR) significance threshold of p < .05 and a required cluster size of k > 20.

	Detail	Novelty	Temporal Distance	Ease of Formulation	Difficulty in Goal Attainment	Confidence in Completion
Detail	-					
Novelty	28 (32)**	_				
Temporal distance	02 (.21)	.05 (.25)	_			
Ease of formulation	.24 (.33)**	35 (.34)**	.04 (.25)	_		
Difficulty in goal attainment	13 (.26)**	.12 (.33)*	04 (.26)	57 (.44)**	_	
Confidence in completion	.02 (.22)	.03 (.24)	40 (.25)**	.00 (.26)	.03 (.22)	_
М	65	31	4m 10d	68	42	79
SD	14	12	10d	15	15	10

 Table 1. Mean Within-subject Correlations among the Ratings and Descriptive Statistics

SDs of the within-subject correlations are in parentheses. Ratings are presented on a scale of 1-100. m = months; d = days.

\*p < .01.

Although there are significant associations among the qualitative autobiographical plan ratings (see the Behavior section under Results), statistically independent relationships between these ratings and brain activity during planning were determined. To examine unique brain activity associated with each of the ratings, six hierarchical linear models were built, such that associations with the rating of interest were orthogonalized with respect to the other ratings. This modeling procedure removed the shared variance among the different ratings, allowing us to determine the independent relationship between the rating of interest and the BOLD signal during autobiographical planning. To assess how brain activity during autobiographical planning was modulated according to the ratings, we generated a hierarchical linear model for each participant, modeling the cognitive tasks with the canonical hemodynamic response function, its temporal derivative, and its dispersion derivative; mean and linear drift for each functional run; and the six motion parameters as well as the parametric regressors. Participants' in-scan rating for detail and postscan ratings of novelty, confidence, temporal distance, ease of formulating the plan in the scanner, and difficulty in accomplishing the goal in life were included as parametric regressors in six distinct models. Four participants were excluded because of collinearity among the ratings. The resulting parametric t contrast images for the ratings were then used in a second-level random effects analyses, which included study and scanner type as second-level regressors. To examine how neural activity was modulated as a function of the parametric regressors, we performed a simple t test, masked by the autobiographical planning > counting contrast image and, again, a significance threshold of p < .05, FDR-corrected, and k > 20. For a priori investigation into the temporal distance effect on hippocampal modulation, the cluster extent criteria were relaxed to k > 10 (cf. Addis, Wong, & Schacter, 2007).

#### **RESULTS**

#### Behavior

On average, participants generated moderately detailed plans. As anticipated, many of the plans were personally

meaningful and had been thought of previously, as indicated by low novelty ratings. Participants did not experience difficulty formulating their plans in the scanner. They felt that their plans were modestly challenging and achievable. On average, participants predicted that they would accomplish their goals in a little more than 4 months; however, there was substantial variability across goals, ranging from 1 day to 50 years (see Table 1 for means and standard deviations).

We observed significant associations among the ratings for autobiographical plans (see Table 1 for all means and standard deviations of correlations among ratings). Plans made with greater detail were associated with lower novelty (t = -6.74, p < .001), greater ease in formulating the plan in the scanner (t = 5.59, p < .001), and less difficulty to accomplish in the world (t = -3.85, p < .001). The less novel the plan, the easier it was to formulate in the scanner (t = -8.13, p < .001) and the less difficult it was perceived to accomplish in the world (t = 2.67, p < .01). Ease in formulating plans in the scanner was associated with decreased difficultly in accomplishing those plans in the world (t = -10.88, p < .001). Temporally distant goals were associated with lower confidence in completion (t = -10.50, p < .001). No other correlations reliably differed from zero across participants.

#### Brain

## Whole-brain Results

In examining the neural correlates of autobiographical planning, we first determined whole-brain activity relative to a counting baseline task. The results of this wholebrain contrast (Figure 1) were consistent with the previous reports that utilized a multivariate method, partial least squares, to contrast task conditions (Spreng et al., 2010, 2013; Spreng & Schacter, 2012). Autobiographical planning, relative to counting, engaged a number of regions associated with cognitive control in the left hemisphere, including rostral and caudal aspects of middle frontal gyrus, the anterior extent of the IPL, dorsal anterior cingulate, and the anterior insula. Autobiographical planning also robustly engaged the default network bilaterally, including medial pFC, superior and inferior frontal

**Figure 1.** Brain regions associated with autobiographical planning relative to counting. Results images are FDR-corrected, p < .05, k > 20, and displayed on an inflated surface map (population average landmark surface: PALS-B12) using CARET software (Van Essen, 2005).



Figure 2. Modulated autobiographical planning activity 1. (A) Detail. More detailed autobiographical plans were associated with default and frontoparietal brain network activity in cortex and subcortically in hippocampus and caudate, depicted in warm colors. Cool colors depict low detailed plans. (B) Novelty. More novel autobiographical plans were associated with default and frontoparietal brain network activity in cortex and subcortically in hippocampus and caudate. No regions were associated with low novelty. (C) Temporal distance. Modulation of activity for temporally proximal goals was associated with greater activity in warm colors. Distant goals were associated with right anterior hippocampal activity (circled) in cool colors. Result images are FDR-corrected, p < .05, k > 20, and displayed on an inflated surface map (population average landmark surface: PALS-B12) using CARET software (Van Essen, 2005) or displayed in the volume image to depict subcortical structures.



gyri, posterior IPL, lateral temporal cortex, PCC, RSC, and the MTLs, including the amygdala and hippocampus. Additional activity was also observed in the medial occipital cortex, ventral temporal cortex, and posterior insula.

# Modulation by Qualitative Plan Features

Next, the relationship between the ratings and brain activity during autobiographical planning was determined. Positive and negative modulation was examined for plan detail, novelty, temporal distance, ease of formulating the plan in the scanner, difficulty in accomplishing the goal, and confidence in completing the goal. The results of each hierarchical linear regression analysis are presented in turn.

*Detail.* Modulation of activity in a number of brain structures was observed for autobiographical plan detail (see Figure 2A and Table 2). On the lateral surfaces, increasing detail was associated with greater activity in the lateral temporal cortex, inferior parietal cortex, and inferior frontal and middle frontal gyrus. On the medial sur-

face, increasing detail was associated with greater activity in the RSC, PCC, and medial pFC. Highly detailed plans also increased activity in the thalamus, caudate, and hippocampus. Lower levels of plan detail were associated with increasing right temporal pole as well as bilateral cuneus and lingual gyrus activity.

*Novelty.* Many brain regions increased in activity as a function of higher novelty or of the extent to which the plan had been given prior consideration before participating in the study (Figure 2B and Table 3). Greater novelty was associated with increasing bilateral activity in the lateral and ventral temporal cortex, inferior parietal cortex, left lateral pFC, and dorsomedial pFC. Higher novelty was also associated with greater activity in the precuneus and right PCC as well as bilateral MTLs and caudate. No regions of the brain showed more activity for decreasing levels of novelty.

*Temporal distance.* Goals that were situated nearer in time demonstrated an extended pattern of increasing

Table 2. Detail

	Region	x	у	z	t	k
Posi	tive Modulation					
L	Middle frontal gyrus	-34	6	52	4.38	409
L	TPJ/angular gyrus	-46	-68	24	4.18	1016
L	Anterior medial pFC	-16	52	8	4.10	382
L	Ventromedial pFC	-2	36	-14	3.96	384
L	Caudate	-2	6	-2	3.93	290
L	Thalamus	-2	-6	8	3.82	82
R	Temporal pole	48	-4	-24	3.81	115
R	Angular gyrus	46	-72	34	3.68	221
L	Hippocampus	-22	-16	-20	3.62	534
R	RSC	16	-42	6	3.61	635
R	Hippocampus	20	-16	-20	3.53	236
L	Inferior frontal gyrus	-42	10	22	3.48	355
L	Medial superior pFC	-6	8	60	3.46	78
L	Supramarginal gyrus	-34	-30	50	3.32	22
L	Middle frontal gyrus	-22	40	22	3.30	126
L	Posterior insula	-36	-26	22	3.22	49
L	Pons	-6	-24	-26	3.07	20
L	PCC	-2	-46	38	2.98	168
R	Anterior medial pFC	12	56	8	2.96	52
R	Medial pFC	10	48	30	2.94	57
R	Posterior STS	42	-42	4	2.93	116
L	Superior frontal gyrus	-18	20	54	2.79	213
L	Inferior frontal gyrus	-48	28	4	2.76	131
R	Caudate	10	14	6	2.75	32
L	STS	-60	-16	-6	2.68	82
R	Middle temporal gyrus	64	-40	0	2.60	26
L	Middle temporal gyrus	-60	-12	-24	2.57	27
L	STS	-44	-36	2	2.55	31
L	Medial pFC	-2	34	24	2.54	31
R	Inferior frontal gyrus	60	18	8	2.53	20
R	Superior temporal gyrus	66	-38	12	2.52	31
R	Precentral gyrus	32	-22	60	2.49	32
R	Middle temporal gyrus	62	-18	-18	2.44	27
Neg	ative Modulation					
R	Temporal pole	36	16	-22	3.18	27
R	Cuneus	20	-76	20	2.90	56
L	Cuneus	-14	-78	16	2.86	36
R	Lingual gyrus	18	-88	6	2.72	96
L	Lingual gyrus	-12	-76	-2	2.32	36

R = right; L = left.

Table	e 3.	Novel	lty
-------	------	-------	-----

	Region	x	у	z	t	k
Pc	ositive Modulation					
R	Parahippocampus	38	-28	-20	4.42	369
R	Hippocampus	30	-18	-20	3.53	_
R	Caudate	14	6	12	4.20	39
L	Inferior frontal gyrus	-54	30	4	4.13	154
L	Ventral temporal lobe	-38	-10	-36	4.10	810
L	Hippocampus	-18	-10	-26	3.09	_
L	Middle frontal gyrus	-32	26	30	3.90	219
L	Anterior superior frontal gyrus	-8	64	28	3.86	162
L	Fusiform gyrus	-54	-46	-12	3.84	278
L	Parahippocampus	-42	-32	-16	3.81	162
L	Middle temporal gyrus	-60	-44	2	3.73	231
L	Caudate body	-16	10	6	3.60	56
R	Parahippocampal gyrus	16	-40	6	3.34	20
L	IPL	-48	-48	26	3.25	370
L	Middle frontal gyrus	-36	2	40	3.21	221
R	Middle temporal gyrus	66	-44	4	2.99	87
L	PCC	2	-36	40	2.97	259
L	Anterior superior frontal gyrus	-20	54	30	2.94	180
L	Inferior frontal gyrus	-42	36	-12	2.91	41
R	Medial frontal gyrus	12	50	34	2.80	25
L	Superior frontal gyrus	-8	24	52	2.72	160
R	Angular gyrus	56	-56	38	2.71	162
R	Temporal pole	30	14	-30	2.67	24
L	Temporal pole	-48	-2	-26	2.64	59
L	Posterior hippocampus	-10	-36	2	2.62	52
R	Superior frontal gyrus	20	24	48	2.55	70
L	PCC	-12	-42	34	2.51	20
L	Precuneus	0	-68	46	2.35	23

# Negative Modulation

None

activity in the medial pFC, RSC, temporal pole, lateral temporal cortex, and angular gyrus as well as the left parahippocampus and left hippocampus. Proximal temporal distance also modulated aspects of the posterior lateral pFC and dorsal ACC as well as the cuneus and intracalcarine cortex. Planning for goals that were temporally more distant, in contrast, engaged the right hippocampus (see Figure 2C and Table 4).

*Ease of formulating the plan in the scanner.* The process of planning in the scanner revealed differential activity by perceived difficulty. Plans that were easier to form showed greater activity in the temporal poles bilaterally as well as left-lateralized activity in the inferior temporal gyrus, fusiform, and anterior lateral pFC (BA 9). Plans that were more difficult to form in the scanner, in contrast,

 Table 4. Temporal Distance

	Region	x	У	z	t	k
Po	sitive Modulation (Distal)					
R	Hippocampus	26	-10	-14	2.33	15

Negative Modulation (Proximal)

L	Ventromedial pFC	-4	24	-10	3.73	391
L	RSC	-12	-44	2	3.71	818
R	Temporal pole	50	14	-22	3.54	48
R	Posterior STS	48	-42	2	3.36	149
R	Inferior frontal gyrus	54	30	-4	3.37	45
L	Middle frontal gyrus	-36	4	42	3.36	265
R	Superior frontal gyrus	16	24	48	3.26	37
R	Superior frontal gyrus	16	38	38	2.99	56
L	Inferior frontal gyrus	-60	14	26	2.99	24
R	Anterior medial pFC	14	60	6	2.96	38
L	Dorsomedial pFC	-8	36	46	2.95	74
R	Temporal pole	42	20	-34	2.85	24
L	Parahippocampus	-28	-36	-12	2.82	35
L	Dorsal ACC	-2	18	42	2.74	96
L	Temporal pole	-50	12	-28	2.72	25
R	Cuneus	14	-70	22	2.72	302
L	Superior frontal gyrus	-18	34	40	2.71	75
L	Angular gyrus	-50	-54	28	2.69	35
R	Cuneus	16	-90	16	2.68	21
R	Middle temporal gyrus	68	-32	0	2.60	35
R	RSC	18	-48	10	2.51	72
L	Superior temporal gyrus	-62	-44	16	2.50	26
L	Hippocampus	-24	-18	-22	2.45	23
L	Medial pFC	-10	38	30	2.41	32
R	Intracalcarine cortex	22	-68	4	2.37	29
L	Superior temporal gyrus	-62	-28	8	2.30	21
R	Medial pFC	4	46	36	2.20	31
R	Medial pFC	4	54	14	2.18	23

#### Table 5. Ease of Formulating Plan

	Region	x	У	z	t	k
Pc	ositive Modulation					
R	Temporal pole	44	-4	-32	3.08	77
L	Temporal pole	-54	0	-24	2.75	20
L	Fusiform gyrus	-28	-32	-24	2.74	21
L	Inferior temporal gyrus	-52	-14	-28	2.68	54
L	Anterior superior frontal gyrus	-8	64	30	2.64	22
L	Temporal pole	-44	8	-30	2.61	77
Ne	egative Modulation					
R	Occipital fusiform gyrus	22	-78	-10	2.55	64
L	Anterior insula	-38	22	4	2.24	29
R	Cuneus	16	-66	14	2.21	30

revealed more activity in the right fusiform and cuneus, in addition to the left anterior insula (see Table 5).

*Difficulty accomplishing the goal.* Plans that were foreseen to be more difficult to accomplish were associated with increasing activity in the left amygdala, OFC, and ventral temporal cortex as well as the right parahippocampal cortex. Plans that were foreseen to be easier to accomplish were associated with increasing activity in the precuneus, posterior STS, left anterior insula, and occipital cortex (see Figure 3A and Table 6).

*Confidence in completion.* Plans for which participants had high confidence in eventually fulfilling resulted in greater activity in the inferior temporal gyrus, superior lateral occipital cortex, and PCC. Plans for which participants had lower confidence in fulfilling led to greater activity in the right hippocampus, angular gyrus, and RSC. Lower confidence plans were also associated with greater ventral occipital and temporal cortex activity as well as activity in the inferior frontal gyrus (see Figure 3B and Table 7).

#### DISCUSSION

In the last several years, substantial attention has been given to the cognitive and neural processes associated with episodic future simulation—the capacity to draw on the constructive nature of memory to flexibly reconstitute past experiences into coherent simulations of a personal future event (Schacter & Addis, 2007). In contrast, researchers are beginning to investigate the processes involved in guiding our actions toward realizing this personal future, what we have termed "autobiographical planning." Constructing future simulations is closely associated with default network activity, as details



**Figure 3.** Modulated autobiographical planning activity 2. (A) Perceived difficulty in fulfilling the goal. High difficulty is depicted in warm colors, including the amygdala and OFC (both circled); low difficulty is depicted in cool colors. Left shows the ventral view of the brain's surface. (B) Confidence in fulfilling the goal. High confidence is depicted in warm colors; low confidence in goal fulfillment is depicted in cool colors, including the hippocampus (circled). Left shows a posterior view of the right hemisphere. Result images are FDR-corrected, p < .05, k > 20, and displayed on an inflated surface map (population average landmark surface: PALS-B12) using CARET software (Van Essen, 2005) or displayed in the volume image to depict subcortical structures.

of personal episodic events are recombined into an imagined future. We predicted that planning for that future would additionally engage frontoparietal control regions commonly associated with performance on standard laboratory-based measures of planning. Consistent with previous studies investigating the specific qualities of personal episodic simulation (e.g., Addis et al., 2007), we further hypothesized that contributions of specific brain regions in both default and frontoparietal control networks would be modulated by plan detail, novelty, ease of plan formulation, perceived difficulty, confidence in goal completion, and temporal proximity.

Consistent with predictions and previous multivariate analyses (Spreng et al., 2010, 2013; Spreng & Schacter, 2012), whole-brain analyses revealed robust engagement of default and frontoparietal control network regions during autobiographical planning relative to a counting control task. Results of the hierarchical regression analyses provided further support for this default executive model of autobiographical planning. Novel plans that were richly detailed, comparatively easy to construct in mind, and judged to be readily and confidently achievable and were targeted toward attainment of a shorter term goal robustly and concurrently engaged regions of both the default and executive control networks. In contrast, repeated plans or those that were sparsely detailed, difficult to formulate and accomplish, and directed toward a more distant goal state engaged regions of the default network but failed to reliably engage brain regions associated with executive control processing.

Detailed autobiographical planning for novel goals that were perceived to be easier and more readily achievable was associated with a broad pattern of cortical activity encompassing both default and frontoparietal control regions bilaterally. This finding is consistent with evidence linking default network brain regions with episodic simulation (Schacter et al., 2012) and imagining personal, versus nonpersonal, future events (D'Argembeau et al., 2010). Similarly, engagement of frontoparietal control network regions, including dorsolateral pFC as well as lateral parietal cortices, is consistent with activation patterns observed in an independent sample of participants engaged in autobiographical planning (Gerlach et al., 2014) during goaldirected future thinking (Stawarczyk & D'Argembeau, 2015) and during standardized, in-laboratory planning tasks (van den Huevel et al., 2003; Owen, 1997).

Coactivation of default and frontoparietal control brain regions during detailed autobiographical planning is consistent with a recent report demonstrating that prospective mind-wandering, commonly associated with default network activity, often involves future planning. Critically, the extent of this association between mind-wandering and planning was dependent on individual differences in cognitive control capacity (Baird, Smallwood,

#### Table 6. Difficulty Accomplishing Goal

	Region	x	у	z	t	k
Po	sitive Modulation					
L	Amygdala	-28	-2	-20	3.09	25
L	OFC	-32	36	-12	2.98	30
L	Ventral temporal cortex	-48	-18	-28	2.59	23
R	Parahippocampus	20	-26	-20	2.43	22
Ne	gative Modulation					
L	TPJ	-58	-54	12	3.27	53
R	Ventral occipital cortex	32	-70	-16	3.00	117
L	Anterior insula	-32	20	-2	2.75	97
L	Precuneus	-6	-46	44	2.58	50
R	Lingual gyrus	16	-68	6	2.53	25
L	Cuneus	-10	-84	32	2.52	29
R	Precuneus	4	-44	38	2.50	21
R	Middle temporal gyrus	56	-22	-12	2.49	21
R	Lateral parietal	42	-64	42	2.42	62

Table 7. Confidence

	Region	х	у	z	t	k
Pc	ositive Modulation					
L	Inferior temporal gyrus	-42	-10	-36	3.25	31
R	Superior lateral occipital cortex	44	-64	48	2.60	23
L	РСС	-4	-40	24	2.33	25
Ne	egative Modulation					
R	Occipital fusiform gyrus	24	-66	-6	3.48	116
L	Lingual gyrus	-16	-54	-10	3.26	49
R	Angular gyrus	54	-52	20	2.85	147
L	Inferior frontal gyrus	-38	44	-18	2.78	24
R	Inferior frontal gyrus	48	26	6	2.76	26
R	Hippocampus	28	-14	-16	2.76	27
R	RSC	16	-40	0	2.70	40
R	Temporal pole	40	8	-34	2.68	82
L	Lingual gyrus	-14	-74	0	2.55	26
L	Cuneus	-10	-88	26	2.54	90
R	Inferior frontal gyrus	34	18	-22	2.38	20
R	Cuneus	22	-70	14	2.38	39
R	Lingual gyrus	26	-52	4	2.30	39
L	Inferior frontal gyrus	-46	16	-2	2.28	21
R	Cuneus	22	-88	24	2.25	25

& Schooler, 2011). Providing additional behavioral evidence for a link between future thinking and executive function, individual differences in working memory predicted future episodic specificity, even after controlling for autobiographical memory specificity (Hill & Emery, 2013). A recent meta-analytic review directly investigating patterns of brain activity during mind-wandering observed activation in both default network and frontoparietal control regions (Fox, Spreng, Ellamil, Andrews-Hanna, & Christoff, 2015). Taken together, these findings are consistent with our observations that autobiographical planning requires both default network engagement to project into one's personal future and frontoparietal control network involvement to construct a viable way forward. Furthermore, these patterns of brain activity, linking personal relevance to planning, extend beyond the neocortex to subcortical brain structures. Detailed plans for novel versus rehearsed goals were associated with robust hippocampal activation, consistent with reports demonstrating that MTL structures are actively engaged during detailed and novel episodic simulations (Gaesser, Spreng, McLelland, Addis, & Schacter, 2013; Addis et al., 2011; Martin, Schacter, Corballis, & Addis, 2011; Addis & Schacter, 2008). Similarly, detailed planning in novel contexts was also associated with activity in the caudate nucleus, a region commonly associated with future planning for novel actions on standardized planning tasks (e.g., Wunderlich et al., 2012; Jankowski, Scheef, Hüppe, & Boecker, 2009; Monchi et al., 2006).

Planning for more temporally remote goals, which was considered by our participants to be more difficult and had lower probability of success, engaged default network brain regions but was not associated with activity in the frontoparietal control network. Planning for less immediate and more intangible personal goals engaged the right hippocampus, which has also been associated with episodic simulation of low-probability events (Weiler et al., 2010). Planning for personal goals that were considered more difficult to accomplish, that were harder to plan in the scanner, or where confidence in completion was low, which were also associated with temporal distance, preferentially engaged posterior default network regions, visual cortices, as well as affective and reward processing regions, including the amygdala and OFC. This observation suggests that autobiographical planning for a personally distant future may involve more affective and perceptually based projections as opposed to the controlled construction of detailed plans to a more proximal and tangible goal state.

On the basis of these findings, we suggest that autobiographical planning requires access to detailed representations of one's personal past, mediated by default network brain regions, as well as the control processes necessary to update, reconfigure, inhibit, and flexibly recombine these representations to forge a mental pathway toward personal goal attainment. However, the analyses of qualitative plan features also hint at a more complex model of autobiographical planning-one in which there may be multiple paths to plan our personal futures. In our recently proposed taxonomy of future thinking (Szpunar et al., 2014), we argued that planning (as well as other modes of future thinking) varies along a gradient from specific, episodic planning that involves organizing steps needed to achieve a particular autobiographical future outcome to abstract, semantic planning needed for some general state of the world to arise in the future. In a similar spirit, we suggest that specific autobiographical planning describes a detailed, achievable, and actionable planning process for attaining a clearly envisioned future, whereas abstract autobiographical planning refers to plans that may be constructed from more generalized semantic or affective representations of a less tangible and distant future. In this model, abstract autobiographical plans would be associated with default network structures as well as posterior and limbic brain regions linked to perceptually or affectively based holistic representations of a more generalized future self.

This distinction between specific and abstract autobiographical planning mirrors recent evidence that future simulations may vary by level of construal (Trope & Liberman, 2010). Construal theory predicts that prospection may consist of both richly detailed and more abstract representations of the future. Our data suggest that autobiographical planning may reflect a similar distinction. Specific autobiographical planning may require engagement and interaction among default and frontoparietal brain regions to both instantiate the goal state and to shape the detailed means to its attainment. In contrast, abstract autobiographical planning engages default network regions to instantiate the desired, albeit more distal, goal state; however, specific control processes may give way to more sensory and affective responses to a less detailed or determined future.

We observed the hippocampus to be involved in facets of both abstract and specific autobiographical planning. We observed predominantly right anterior hippocampus activity associated with more abstract features of autobiographical planning, including greater temporal distance (y =-10), low confidence in plan completion (y = -14), and high novelty (y = -18). In contrast, specific planning features were associated with left anterior hippocampal activity, including high detail plans (y = -14) and close temporal proximity (y = -18). However, laterality does not cleanly separate abstract and specific planning processes: Detailed planning was also associated with the right anterior hippocampus (y = -18), and left posterior hippocampus (y = -36) was engaged for higher novelty plans. Parametric modulation of the hippocampus for both greater detail and temporal distance has been observed previously in research on future episodic event simulation effects (Addis & Schacter, 2008), likely reflecting the contribution of the hippocampus to both generating specific details for simulated experiences and recombining those details into a coherent event. Addis and Schacter (2008) proposed that detail recombination may be more difficult for distant, more abstract future events than for proximal, more concrete events and require greater hippocampal contributions; this account fits with our observations concerning autobiographical planning. Overall, the modulation effects we observed here may reflect the multifaceted functions of the hippocampus, including the accessing of episodic detail, recombination of details, and/or encoding of plans (cf. Addis & Schacter, 2012, for a review).

In this study, we were able to demonstrate that autobiographical planning involves coactivation of default and frontoparietal brain regions, consistent with our hypothesis that envisioning a personal future and devising a means to its attainment are critically dependent on cross-talk between these two brain networks. Furthermore, our analysis of the qualitative features of autobiographical planning suggests that it is not a unitary process but may be differentiated, both in its phenomenology and neural instantiation, depending on the psychological distance that must be bridged between the present and an imagined future.

### Acknowledgments

We thank Adrian Gilmore and Clifford Robbins for assistance with stimulus preparation and data collection, Tom Miller and David Orama for help with data processing, and the Harvard Center for Brain Science Neuroimaging Core and the Harvard Neuroinformatics Research Group for imaging support. This work was supported by NIMH grant MH060941 to D. L. S.

Reprint requests should be sent to R. Nathan Spreng, Department of Human Development, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, or via e-mail: nathan.spreng@gmail.com.

#### REFERENCES

- Addis, D. R., Cheng, T., Roberts, R., & Schacter, D. L. (2011). Hippocampal contributions to the episodic simulation of specific and general future events. *Hippocampus*, 21, 1045–1052.
- Addis, D. R., & Schacter, D. L. (2008). Effects of detail and temporal distance of past and future events on the engagement of a common neural network. *Hippocampus*, 18, 227–237.
- Addis, D. R., & Schacter, D. L. (2012). The hippocampus and imagining the future: Where do we stand? *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *5*, 173.
- Addis, D. R., Wong, A. T., & Schacter, D. L. (2007). Remembering the past and imagining the future: Common and distinct neural substrates during event construction and elaboration. *Neuropsychologia*, 45, 1363–1377.
- Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Smallwood, J., & Spreng, R. N. (2014). The default network and self-generated thought: Component processes, dynamic control, and clinical relevance. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *1316*, 29–52.
- Baird, B., Smallwood, J., & Schooler, J. W. (2011). Back to the future: Autobiographical planning and the functionality of mind-wandering. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 20, 1604–1611.
- Buckner, R. L., Andrews-Hanna, J. R., & Schacter, D. L. (2008). The brain's default network: Anatomy, function, and relevance to disease. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *1124*, 1–38.
- D'Argembeau, A., Stawarczyk, D., Majerus, S., Collette, F., Van der Linden, M., Feyers, D., et al. (2010). The neural basis of personal goal processing when envisioning future events. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 22*, 1701–1713.
- D'Argembeau, A., Xue, G., Lu, Z. L., Van der Linden, M., & Bechara, A. (2008). Neural correlates of envisioning emotional events in the near and far future. *Neuroimage*, *40*, 398–407.
- Fox, K. C. R., Spreng, R. N., Ellamil, M., Andrews-Hanna, J. R., & Christoff, K. (2015). The wandering brain: Meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging studies of mind-wandering and related spontaneous thought processes. *Neuroimage*, 111, 611–621.
- Gaesser, B., Spreng, R. N., McLelland, V. C., Addis, D. R., & Schacter, D. L. (2013). Imagining the future: Evidence for a hippocampal contribution to constructive processing. *Hippocampus*, *23*, 1150–1161.
- Gerlach, K. D., Spreng, R. N., Madore, K. P., & Schacter, D. L. (2014). Future planning: Default network activity couples with frontoparietal control network and reward-processing regions during process and outcome simulations. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 9, 1942–1951.
- Gilbert, D. T., & Wilson, T. (2007). Prospection: Experiencing the future. *Science*, *317*, 1351–1354.
- Hill, P. F., & Emery, L. (2013). Episodic future thought: Contributions from working memory. *Consciousness and Cognition, 22,* 677–683.
- Jankowski, J., Scheef, L., Hüppe, C., & Boecker, H. (2009). Distinct striatal regions for planning and executing novel

and automated movement sequences. *Neuroimage, 44,* 1369–1379.

Martin, V. C., Schacter, D. L., Corballis, M. C., & Addis, D. R. (2011). A role for the hippocampus in encoding future simulations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A.*, 108, 13858–13863.

Monchi, O., Ko, J. H., & Strafella, A. P. (2006). Striatal dopamine release during performance of executive functions: A [<sup>11</sup>C] raclopride PET study. *Neuroimage, 33,* 907–912.

Niendam, T. A., Laird, A. R., Ray, K. L., Dean, Y. M., Glahn, D. C., & Carter, C. S. (2012). Meta-analytic evidence for a superordinate cognitive control network subserving diverse executive functions. *Cognitive, Affective & Behavioral Neuroscience, 12,* 241–268.

Owen, A. M. (1997). Cognitive planning in humans: Neuropsychological, neuroanatomical and neuropharmacological perspectives. *Progress in Neurobiology*, *53*, 431–450.

Owen, A. M., Downes, J. D., Sahakian, B. J., Polkey, C. E., & Robbins, T. W. (1990). Planning and spatial working memory following frontal lobe lesions in man. *Neuropsychologia*, 28, 1021–1034.

Owen, A. M., Doyon, J., Petrides, M., & Evans, A. C. (1996). Planning and spatial working memory examined with positron emission tomography (PET). *European Journal of Neuroscience*, *8*, 353–364.

Schacter, D. L., & Addis, D. R. (2007). The cognitive neuroscience of constructive memory: Remembering the past and imagining the future. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, Series B, Biological Sciences, 362,* 773–786.

Schacter, D. L., Addis, D. R., & Buckner, R. L. (2008). Episodic simulation of future events: Concepts, data, and application. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1124, 39–60.

Schacter, D. L., Addis, D. R., Hassabis, D., Martin, V. C., Spreng, R. N., & Szpunar, K. K. (2012). The future of memory: Remembering, imagining, and the brain. *Neuron*, *76*, 677–694.

Seligman, M. E. P., Railton, P., Baumeister, R. F., & Sripada, C. (2013). Navigating into the future or driven by the past. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 8, 119–141.

Shallice, T., & Burgess, P. W. (1991). Deficits in strategy application following frontal lobe damage in man. *Brain*, 114, 727–741.

Sharot, T., Riccardi, A. M., Raio, C. M., & Phelps, E. A. (2007). Neural mechanisms mediating optimism bias. *Nature*, 450, 102–105.

Spreng, R. N., & Levine, B. (2006). The temporal distribution of past and future autobiographical events across the lifespan. *Memory & Cognition*, 34, 1644–1651.

Spreng, R. N., Mar, R. A., & Kim, A. S. N. (2009). The common neural basis of autobiographical memory, prospection, navigation, theory of mind and the default mode: A quantitative meta-analysis. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 21, 489–510.

Spreng, R. N., & Schacter, D. L. (2012). Default network modulation and large-scale network interactivity in healthy young and old adults. *Cerebral Cortex, 22,* 2610–2621.

Spreng, R. N., Sepulcre, J., Turner, G. R., Stevens, W. D., & Schacter, D. L. (2013). Intrinsic architecture underlying the relations among the default, dorsal attention, and frontoparietal control networks of the human brain. *Journal* of *Cognitive Neuroscience*, 25, 74–86.

Spreng, R. N., Stevens, W. D., Chamberlain, J., Gilmore, A. W., & Schacter, D. L. (2010). Default network activity, coupled with the frontoparietal control network, supports goal-directed cognition. *Neuroimage*, *31*, 303–317.

Stawarczyk, D., & D'Argembeau, A. (2015). Neural correlates of personal goal processing during episodic future thinking and mind-wandering: An ALE meta-analysis. *Human Brain Mapping*. doi: 10.1002/hbm.22818.

Szpunar, K. K., Spreng, R. N., & Schacter, D. L. (2014). A taxonomy of prospection: Introducing an organizational framework of future-oriented cognition. *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A., 111, 18414–18421.

Tamir, D. I., & Mitchell, J. P. (2011). The default network distinguishes construals of proximal versus distal events. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 23, 2945–2955.

Trope, Y., & Liberman, N. (2010). Construal level theory of psychological distance. *Psychological Review*, 117, 440–463.

van den Huevel, O. A., Groenewegen, H. J., Barkhof, F., Lazeron, R. H. C., van Dyck, R., & Veltman, D. J. (2003).
Frontostriatal system in planning complexity: A parametric functional magnetic resonance version of the Tower of London task. *Neuroimage*, *8*, 367–374.

Van Essen, D. C. (2005). A population-average, landmark- and surface-based (PALS) atlas of human cerebral cortex. *Neuroimage*, 28, 635–662.

Vincent, J. L., Kahn, I., Snyder, A. Z., Raichle, M. E., & Buckner, R. L. (2008). Evidence for a frontoparietal control system revealed by intrinsic functional connectivity. *Journal of Neurophysiology, 100,* 3328–3342.

Wagner, G., Koch, K., Reichenbach, J. R., Sauer, H., & Schlosser, R. G. M. (2006). The special involvement of the rostrolateral prefrontal cortex in planning abilities: An event-related fMRI study with the Tower of London paradigm. *Neuropsychologia, 44, 2337–2347.* 

Weiler, J. A., Suchan, B., & Daum, I. (2010). Foreseeing the future: Occurrence probability of imagined future events modulates hippocampal activation. *Hippocampus*, 20, 685–690.

Wunderlich, K., Dayan, P., & Dolan, R. J. (2012). Mapping value based planning and extensively trained choices in the human brain. *Nature Neuroscience, 15,* 786–791.